New York Northern California Washington DC São Paulo London Paris Madrid Hong Kong Beijing Tokyo

Davis Polk

Lawrence Portnoy

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 212 450 4874 tel lawrence.portnoy@davispolk.com

March 26, 2021

The Honorable John P. Cronan United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: In re Luckin Coffee Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 20-cv-01293-JPC-JLC

Dear Judge Cronan:

We represent defendant Luckin Coffee Inc. ("Luckin") in the above-referenced matter. We submit this letter motion pursuant to Rule 3(B) of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices to request a one-week extension of Luckin's deadline to file, if necessary, its reply brief in support of its motion to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint (ECF No. 210) from March 30, 2021 to April 6, 2021.

As this Court is aware (ECF No. 234), on February 5, 2021, Luckin's Joint Provisional Liquidators (the "JPLs") commenced the Chapter 15 Proceeding in Bankruptcy Court. *See In re Luckin Coffee Inc. (In Provisional Liquidation)*, No. 21-10228 (MG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.). On March 16, 2021, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on the Chapter 15 petition and stated, at the conclusion of the hearing, that it would "enter a written order that recognizes the Cayman proceeding as a foreign main proceeding." (Ex. A at 63:16-17.) Recognizing the Cayman proceeding as a foreign main proceeding would have the effect of automatically staying litigation against Luckin in the United States, including in this Action. The Bankruptcy Court, however, reserved judgment on the JPLs' further relief to stay litigation as to Luckin's co-defendants. (*Id.* at 63:17-19.) On March 22, 2021, two competing versions of a proposed order were submitted to the Bankruptcy Court. Both versions acknowledge a stay of litigation against Luckin. However, as of the time of this letter, the Bankruptcy Court has not entered any order.

Accordingly, in order to conserve the resources of the parties and this Court, Lead Plaintiffs¹ and Luckin have conferred and agreed to extend Luckin's deadline to file, if necessary, its reply brief in support of its motion to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint from

¹ The Lead Plaintiffs are Sjunde AP-Fonden and Louisiana Sheriffs' Pension and Relief Fund.

March 30, 2021 until April 6, 2021. The Underwriter Defendants² requested the same extension to file their reply brief in support of their motion to dismiss yesterday (ECF No. 248).

Extension of this deadline would not affect any other scheduled dates in this action. This is Luckin's second request to extend this deadline; on February 19, 2021, the Court granted Luckin's first request for an extension. Lead Plaintiffs consent to this request.

In light of the foregoing, Luckin respectfully requests that the Court grant this letter motion to extend Luckin's deadline to file its reply brief in support of its motion to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lawrence Portnoy Luckin's request is granted. Luckin shall have until April 6, 2021

to submit its reply brief.

Lawrence Portnoy

SO ORDERED.

Electronic Filing Date: March 26, 2021

New York, New York

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)

JOHN P. CRONAN United States District Judge

² The Underwriter Defendants are Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, China International Capital Corporation Hong Kong Securities Limited, Haitong International Securities Company Limited, KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., and Needham & Company, LLC.

EXHIBIT A

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 20-10228
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	LUCKIN COFFEE,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	One Bowling Green
13	New York, NY 10004
14	
15	March 16, 2021
16	10:13 AM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON MARTIN GLENN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

```
Page 2
 1
      HEARING re (Doc ## 1 to 8, 10, 13, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29
 2
      to 33)
 3
 4
      HEARING re Motion of the foreign representative for Chapter
 5
      15 recognition and final relief. ECF Docket #3.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
      Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde
```

```
Page 3
    APPEARANCES:
1
2
3
    DLA PIPER LLP
4
         Attorneys for Foreign Representative
5
         1201 North Market Street
6
         Wilmington, DE 19801
7
8
    BY:
         CRAIG R. MARTIN (TELEPHONICALLY)
9
    CAMPBELLS
10
11
         Attorneys for Foreign Representative
12
         Floor 4, Willow House
13
         Cricket Square
14
         Grand Cayman KY1-9010
15
         Cayman Islands
16
17
    BY: GUY MANNING (TELEPHONICALLY)
18
19
    ROLNICK KRAMER SADIGHI LLP
20
         Attorneys for Winslow Funds
21
         1251 Avenue of the Americas
22
         New York, NY 10020
23
24
    BY:
         LAWRENCE ROLNICK (TELEPHONICALLY)
25
```

```
Page 4
1
    DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
2
          Attorneys for the Debtor
3
          450 Lexington Avenue
4
          New York, NY 10017
5
6
    BY: JONATHAN CHANG (TELEPHONICALLY)
7
8
    BROWN RUDNICK LLP
9
          Attorneys for
10
          7 Times Square
          New York, NY 10036
11
12
13
    BY: SIGMUND WISSNER-GROSS (TELEPHONICALLY)
14
15
    EISEMAN LEVINE
16
          Attorneys for Kimson
17
         26 Knolls Drive
18
          New Hyde Park, NY, 11040
19
20
    BY: LAURENCE MAY (TELEPHONICALLY)
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 5
1	AFN LAW
2	Attorneys for Lai Ye
3	2022 East Jefferson Street
4	Seattle, WA 98122
5	
6	BY: ANGUS NI (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	ALSO APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY:
9	ALEXANDER LAWSON, Insolvency Practitioner
10	MARK XU
11	LILI ROARKE
12	YINI JAO
13	DUSTIN WALLER
14	HUSSAM AL RAJHI
15	DRAGAN JOVANOVIC
16	ALAN HARLING
17	TIFFANY WO
18	SHARAN NIRMUL
19	DEITRICH KNAUTH
20	JOEL LEVITIN
21	WILLIAM COBB
22	RICHARD BODNAR
23	LAWERENCE PORTNOY
24	DAVID JANUSZEWSKI
25	SAMUEL MANN

	Page 6
1	ADAM MINTZ
2	SHEILA RAMESH
3	LAWRENCE FOGELMAN
4	JASON JACOBS
5	SHAWN WEST
6	MATTHEW MOGENSEN
7	DANIEL HOLZMAN
8	TED SMITH
9	NICHOLAS TENGE
10	THOMAS CALIFANO
11	DEREK CHAN
12	TIMOTHY GRAULICH
13	ALEXANDER LAWSON
14	JOANNA MCDONALD
15	THOMAS PITTA
16	NATHAN SHEPS
17	NATASHA TSIOURIS
18	FRED NG
19	ANDREW BEHLMANN
20	KIM DENNISON
21	MICHAEL ETKIN
22	ROBERT MOSKALEWIZA
23	SIGMUND WISSNER-GROSS
2 4	TIFFANY WONG
25	ON HEI CHAU

	Page 7
1	WILLIAM HAGMANN
2	ERIK STIER
3	STEVEN CHURCH
4	BENJAMIN FINESTONE
5	ELIZABETH TARAILA
6	DAVID ABELYAN
7	EDWARD HABER
8	ERIK STIER
9	MARTIN SCHINDLER
10	JAI CHANDRASEKHAR
11	NICHOLAS GERSH
12	SALVATORE GRAZIANO
13	JOHN RIZIO-HAMILTON
14	JESSICA L. JUSTINA
15	MICHAEL DELLANGELO
16	BRIAN MASUMOTO
17	YICHEN LIU
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 59

action filed in the Cayman Islands where the court there would be faced with the same inquiry. And so to the extent the Court were to turn its focus on comity, it would be our submission that the appointment order authorizing and asking the JPLs to negotiate a retracting proposal coupled with 1521(a)(1) would create an appropriate environment for the Court to enter the type of temporary stay to facilitate restructuring negotiations what we're talking about. And as mentioned in our reply papers, we are happy to submit to status reports or status conferences both to the extent that any of the parties that have objected this morning feel we're not engaging with them, they will have a forum to pursue any additional sufficient protection that might be appropriate. But it would be my hope that that wouldn't be necessary.

THE COURT: Mr. Martin, just confirm for me that none of the pending actions against non-debtors, whether directors and officers, underwriters, accountants, they're all at the pleading stage, at the motion stage. None of them have moved to discovery. Is that correct?

MR. MARTIN: That's my understanding, yes. With the exception of the notices of depositions that may have been sent out in the Adams action down in Louisiana. But those haven't been scheduled for a date. So other than that t--

Page 60 1 THE COURT: Is plaintiff's counsel in the Adams 2 action on the line today? 3 MR. MARTIN: They are not. That's the party that I mentioned --4 5 THE COURT: That's the one where you didn't give 6 them -- they weren't on the service list. 7 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. We overlooked service. But I 8 understand the Cahill firm's local counsel informed them. 9 called Mr. --10 THE COURT: That doesn't satisfy service 11 requirements, Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: I understand, Your Honor. 12 I wanted 13 to be up front with you about that though. 14 THE COURT: Okay. You were. You were. All 15 right. 16 MR. MARTIN: So, Your Honor, that's my reply to 17 the points made by the objectors. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martin, submit an order 18 19 that grants recognition of the Cayman proceeding as a 20 foreign main proceeding and take under submission the 21 request for relief against non-debtors, as to which I'm not 22 deciding today. I really haven't decided the issue yet. 23 I'll tell you, Mr. Martin, that I am strongly inclined to 24 allow all of those pending state or federal court actions to 25 proceed before -- through the motions stage, through the

Page 61

pleading stage. And that's why I ask whether any of them are in discovery. You mentioned that with respect to the Adams action, there's been a request for depositions.

Adams' counsel is not on the line today.

I think that it would be wise for the JPLs to reach out to all of the plaintiffs' counsel to whom they have not spoken. They seem to have been successful, at least in part, in an RSA which you described, a recent I hope you will -- and I ask that you -- I direct you to file on the docket a status report with an update, including any -- a copy of the RSA if it's been executed as to which parties have been added to it. But I'm -- I'm troubled by your request to extend the stay to non-debtors when no such relief has been granted by the Cayman court, and possibly cannot be granted by the Cayman court. involves respect for the foreign proceedings. It generally does not involve -- it can, but it generally does not involve granting relief that the foreign court has not There have been some exceptions, including by I think Judge Chapman with respect to discovery. So it's not unprecedented, let me say. But generally comity would respect the decision or order of the foreign court in which the foreign proceeding is pending. And that's not what you're asking for.

I would also ask -- I would like a supplemental

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 62 1 filing from you. And I'll give any of the objectors a 2 chance to respond. I want the details on the D&O insurance policy. It sounds like it's a tier of policies. And when 3 can you provide that? Is a week sufficient? 4 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'll have two comments on 5 6 I'll have to work with the Davis Polk firm on that. 7 And I believe Mr. Chang said the policies are under PRC law. 8 So if they're in Mandarin and not in English and you 9 actually want to see the policies, I don't know if they've been translated. If you just want a summary, then --10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I would ask then. 12 Either do your filing within a week or file a status report 13 as to if they're in Chinese, about how long it's going to 14 take to do that. Okay? 15 MR. MARTIN: Okay. And, Your Honor would like to 16 see the actual text of the policies, or... 17 THE COURT: If they're in Chinese, no. 18 MR. MARTIN: Okay. 19 THE COURT: I would certainly like a description 20 of the policies. I'm quite familiar with D&O policies -- in English, not in Chinese -- and self-insured retentions. And 21 22 I am very interested and I do want to know whether there's 23 entity coverage, whether there is priority of payments 24 provision in the policy such that the Ds and Os are paid --25 you know, their defense costs are reimbursed before any

Page 63 1 coverage of the entities. So I'm familiar with priority of 2 payment provisions in D&O policies. I do want to know -- I wouldn't go through -- well, let me leave it at that. Let's 3 see what you come up with. Okay? 4 5 MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor. That would be fine. 6 THE COURT: All right. So either do the filing or 7 give me a status report within a week. 8 MR. MARTIN: Certainly. 9 THE COURT: And I will give any objectors a week 10 after the actual filing by the foreign representative with 11 respect to policies if -- a week from whenever that's filed. 12 Not the status report, but the actual filling of that. 13 Okay? 14 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? So just to 16 be clear, I will enter a written order that recognizes the 17 Cayman proceeding as a foreign main proceeding, but I'm not 18 deciding the issue of whether the stay should be -- for now the stay is not extended. You should all go forward with 19 20 any motions or pleadings, et cetera. Okay? 21 MR. MARTIN: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 And we will revise the order and circulate it amongst the 23 objectors. And then I presume you would prefer it to be submitted through the chambers rules mechanism with the 24 25 email and the Word version.

	Page 64
1	THE COURT: Yes. Yeah. You send it to
2	MG.Chambers@NYSB.uscourts.gov.
3	MR. MARTIN: Okay. We will try to get that done
4	as soon as we can.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. MARTIN: And certainly thank the Court and the
7	court staff for all of the assistance in allowing us to get
8	before you today.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
10	everybody. We are adjourned.
11	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	